

to motivate themselves to take part actively during both face-to-face and online discussions in order to enhance the quality of their writing. Further studies need to be conducted to allow deeper investigation of other variables such as motivation, anxiety, self-efficacy, and self-esteem involving larger samples.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to *Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education* for financially supporting this research.

References

- Abbasi, A., & Izadpanah, S. (2018). The relationship between critical thinking, its subscales and academic achievement of English language course: The predictability of educational success on critical thinking. *Academic Journal of Educational Sciences*, 91–105. doi: 10.31805/acjes.445545
- Adams, R., & Nik, A.N.M. (2014). Prior knowledge and second language task production in text chat. In M. González-Lloret & L. Ortega (Eds.), *Technology-mediated TBLT: Researching technology and tasks* (pp. 51–78). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Adams, R., Amani, S., Newton, J. & Alwi, N. (2014). Planning and production in computer-mediated communication (CMC) writing. In Byrnes, H., & Manchón, R.M. (Eds.), *Task-based Language Learning—Insights from and for L2 Learning* (pp. 137–162). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Aghajani, M., & Adloo, M. (2018). The effect of online cooperative learning on Students' writing skills and attitudes through telegram. *Instructional Journal of Instruction*, 11(3), 433–448. doi: 10.12973/iji.2018.11330a
- Al Mubarak, A.A. (2017). An investigation of academic writing problems level faced by undergraduate students at Al Imam Al Mahdi University-Sudan. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 5(2), 175–188. doi: 10.25134/erjee.v5i2.533
- Anderson, P., Anson, C.M., Gonyea, R.M., Paine, C. (2015). The contributions of writing to learning and development: Results from a large-scale multi-institutional study. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 50(2), 199–235.
- Baralt, M., & Gomez, J.M. (2017). Task-based language teaching online: A guide for teachers. *Language Learning and Technology*, 21(3), 28–43.
- Brown, H.D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2010). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices (2nd Ed.)*. New York: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Chong S.W., & Reinders H. (2018). Technology-mediated task-based language teaching: A qualitative research synthesis. *Language Learning & Technology*, 24(3), 1–18.
- Escorcía, D. (2015) Teaching and assessing writing skills at university level: a comparison of practices in French and Colombian universities. *Educational Research*, 57(3), 254–271. doi: 10.1080/00131881.2015.1056641.

- Facione, P.A. (2015). *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts*. California: Measured Reasons LLC.
- Garrison, D.R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *Internet and Higher Education*, 7, 95–105. doi: 10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001.
- Golpour, F. (2014). Critical thinking and EFL learners' performance on different writing modes. *Journal of Pan-Pacific Association of Applied Linguistics*, 18(1), 103–119.
- Hassana, R.A. (2014). Blended learning: Issues and concerns. *Discovery*, 3(7), 5–9.
- Heggart, K.R., & Yoo, J. (2018). Getting the most from Google Classroom: A pedagogical framework for tertiary educators. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(3), 140–153. doi: 10.14221/ajte.2018v43n3.9
- Iman, J.N., & Angraini, N. (2019). Discussion task model in EFL classroom: EFL learners' perception, oral proficiency, and critical thinking achievements. *Pedagogika*, 133(1), 43–62. doi: 10.15823/p.2019.133.3
- Ismail, N., Hussin, S., & Darus, S. (2012). ESL tertiary students' writing problems and needs: Suggested elements for an additional online writing program (IQ-Write) for the BEL 311 course. *International Journal of Learning*, 18(9), 69–80. doi: 10.18848/1447-9494/CGP/v18i09/47748
- Jafarian, K., Soori, A., & Kafipour, R. (2012) The effect of computer assisted language learning (CALL) on EFL high school students' writing achievement. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 27(2), 138–148.
- Jones, J.M. (2014). Discussion group effectiveness is related to critical thinking through interest and engagement. *Psychology Learning and Teaching*, 13(1), 12–24. doi: 10.2304/plat.2014.13.1.12
- Lai, C., & Li, G. (2011). Technology and task-based language teaching: A critical review. *CALICO Journal*, 28(2), 498–521. doi: 10.11139/cj.28.2.498-521
- Lumpkin, A. (2015). Enhancing undergraduate students' research and writing. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 27(1), 130–142. doi: 10.1080/07303084.2004.10607300.
- McNaught, K., & Benson, S. (2015). Increasing student performance by changing the assessment practices within an academic writing unit in an Enabling Program. *The International Journal of the First Year in Higher Education*, 6(1), 73–87.
- Mehta, S.R., & Al-Mahrooqi, R. (2014). Can thinking be taught? Linking critical thinking and writing in an EFL context. *RELC Journal*, 1–14. doi: 10.1177/0033688214555356
- Nasution, N.B. (2019) Effect of case study and concept map on critical thinking skills and dispositions in Indonesian college students. *The New Educational Review*, 55(1), 64–76. doi: 10.15804/tner.2019.55.1.05
- Nejmaouni, N. (2018). Improving EFL learners' critical thinking skills in argumentative writing. *English language teaching*. 12(1), 98–109. doi: 10.5539/elt.v12n1p98
- Oskoz, A., & Elola, I. (2014). Promoting foreign language collaborative writing through the use of Web 2.0 tools and tasks. In Gonzalez-Lloret, M., & Ortega, L. (Eds.), *Technology-mediated TBL*. (pp.115–148). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

- Park, M. (2012). Implementing computer-assisted task-based language teaching in the Korean secondary EFL context. In Shehadeh, A., & Coombe, C.A. (Eds.). *Task-Based Language Teaching in Foreign Language Contexts*. (pp.215–240). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Purnawarman, P., Susilawati, & Sundayana, W. (2016). The use of Edmodo in teaching writing in a blended learning setting. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 5(2), 242–252. doi: 10.17509/ijal.v5i2.1348
- Rahmatunisa, W. (2014). Problems faced by Indonesian EFL learners in writing argumentative essays. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 3(1), 41–49.
- Ramezani, R., Larsari, E.E., & Kiasi, M.A. (2016). The relationship between critical thinking and EFL learners' speaking ability. *English Language Teaching*, 9(6), 189–198. doi: 10.5539/elt.v9n6p189
- Silva, R.D. (2015). Writing strategy instruction: Its impact on writing in a second language for academic purposes. *Language Teaching Research*, 19(3), 1–15. doi: 10.1177/1362168814541738
- Tavakoli, H., Lotfi, A.H., Biria, R., & Shuyan Wang. (2019) Effects of CALL-mediated TBLT on motivation for L2 reading, *Cogent Education*, 6(1), 1–21. doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2019.1580916
- Tyas, A.S.P., Muam, A., Sari, Y.I.H., & Dewantara, C. (2020). The effectiveness of blended learning in improving students' workplace communication skills: A case study on OLIVE website test result. *Lingua Cultura*, 14(1), 1–12. doi: 10.21512/lc.v14i1.6130
- Wang, S., & Seepho, S. (2016). The development of critical thinking in EFL reading with Chinese students: Reducing the obstructive effect of English proficiency. *Social Science*, 10(2), 33–51.
- Zabihi, R. (2017). The Role of cognitive and affective factors in measures of L2 writing. *Written Communication*, 35(1), 1–26. doi: 10.1177/0741088317735836