

strategies and their impact on different variables such as performance, goals, efficacy, emotion and motivation are another idea which is worth exploring.

Implications and limitations

The findings of this study might have implications for university students, lecturers and materials developers in the field of teaching and learning. It may increase lecturers' ability to address student learning needs, promptly recognize struggling students' strengths and weaknesses, prepare metacognitive instructional designs, improve their pedagogical knowledge, manage educational complexity and encourage learners to take an active self-regulated role in learning. Materials developers should evaluate the curriculum and modify it as necessary and design materials with metacognitive tasks to encourage the use of metacognitive strategies.

The main limitation of this study is the use of a self-report questionnaire. Multiple methods can be used to assess it, such as think aloud and interview, which enables the researcher to hold eye contact with the interviewee and take note of comments which are of particular interest. A further limitation is that the study did not address the actual student employment of metacognitive strategies during teaching and learning. The researcher would like to address this gap in a future study by exploring how to accurately measure what students do in the classroom. Finally, the study was restricted to the BA and BS undergraduate students in both groups. Despite these limitations, the study results, due to the large random sample size, can be generalized to whole related populations.

References

- Aljaberi, N.M. & Gheith, E. (2015). University students' level of metacognitive thinking and their ability to solve problem. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 5 (3), 121–134.
- Al-Hamouri, F. & Abu Mokh, A. (2011). Level of the need for cognition and metacognitive thinking among Yarmouk university undergraduate students. *Najah University Journal for Research (Humanities)*, 25(6), 1463–1488.
- Alkan, F. & Erdem, E. (2014). The relationship between metacognitive awareness, teacher self-efficacy and chemistry competency perceptions. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 143, 778–783.
- Costabile, A., Cornoldi, C., Beni, R.D., Manfredi, P. & Figliuzzi, S. (2013). Metacognitive

- components of student's difficulties in the first year of university. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 2 (4), 165–171.
- Doğan, Y. (2016). Relationships among foreign language anxiety, academic self-efficacy beliefs and metacognitive awareness: A structural equation modelling. *International Journal of Learning and Development*, 6 (2), 31–41.
- Efklides, A. (2009). The role of metacognitive experiences in the learning process. *Psicothema*, 21, 76–82.
- Flavell, J.H. (1976). Metacognitive aspects of problem solving. In L.B. Resnick (Ed.), *The nature of intelligence* (pp. 231–235). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gök, T. (2010). The general assessment of problem solving processes in physics education. *Eurasian Journal of Physics and Chemistry Education*, 2(2), 110–122.
- Kállay, É. (2012). Learning strategies and metacognitive awareness as predictors of academic achievement in a sample of Romanian second-year students. *Cognitie, Creier, Comportament*, 16(3), 369.
- Kramarski, B. & Michalsky, T. (2009). Investigating preservice teachers' professional growth in self-regulated learning environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(1), 161–175.
- Metcalf, J. & Finn, B. (2008). Evidence that judgments of learning are causally related to study choice. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 15, 174–179.
- Prytula, M.P. (2012). Teacher metacognition within the professional learning community. *International Education Studies*, 5(4), 112–121.
- Pucheu, P.M. (2008). An investigation of the relationships between the Scoring Rubrics Inventory and the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory as reported by secondary school core-subject teachers (Doctoral dissertation). Available from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. (UMI No. 3313868)
- Schraw, G. & Dennison, R.S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 19, 460–475.
- Schraw, G. & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7(4), 351–371.
- Schraw, G., Olafson, L., Weibel, M. & Sewing, D. (2012). Metacognitive knowledge and field-based science learning in an outdoor environmental education program. In A. Zohar & Y.J. Dori (Eds.), *Metacognition in science education* (pp. 57–77). Springer Netherlands.
- Sperling, R.A., Howard, B.C., Staley, R. & DuBois, N. (2004). *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 10(2), 117–139.
- Yesilyurt, E. (2013). An analysis of teacher candidate's usage level of metacognitive learning strategies: sample of a university in Turkey. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 8(6), 218–225.
- Young, A. & Fry, J.D. (2008). Metacognitive Awareness and academic achievement in college students. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 1–10.

- Yunus, M., Suraya, A. & Wan Ali, W.Z. (2009). Motivation in the Learning of Mathematics. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(4), 93–101.
- Zimmerman, B.J. & Schunk, D.H. (2011). *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance*. Taylor & Francis.
- Zohar, A. & Dori, Y.J. (2012). Introduction. In A. Zohar & Y.J. Dori (Eds.), *Metacognition in science education: Trends in current research* (pp. 1–19). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.