

## Appendix

*Dear friends! Evaluate the statements according to your perception. 1 – is the lowest level and 5 – is the highest one. Thank you!*

1	It would be advantageous for me if there were more C-BCA	1.....2.....3.....4.....5
2	C-BCA advantages for me	1.....2.....3.....4.....5
3	C-BCA requires significant student-led changes	1.....2.....3.....4.....5
4	C-BL will help me in my writing assignments	1.....2.....3.....4.....5
5	It is necessary to use AWL for academic writing	1.....2.....3.....4.....5
6	I would like to have more C-BCA in my EAP classes	1.....2.....3.....4.....5

## References

- Benesch, S. (2001). *Critical English for academic purposes: Theory, politics, and practice*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bennett, G., Bricker, M. (2006). Book review: Corpus-based Classroom Activities. *HEIS News July 2006: 25, Issue 2*.
- Bucheister, J. Toward a theory of academic self-awareness. *Teaching and Learning together in Higher Education*. US: Scholarship, Research, and Creative Work at Bryn Mawr College, 2016.
- Burns, A. (2010). *Doing action research in English language teaching: A guide for practitioners*. New York: Routledge.
- Cohen, A., Glasman, H., Rosenbaum-Cohen, P.R., Ferrara, J., & Fine, J. (1988). Reading English for specialized purposes: Discourse analysis and the use of standard informants. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive approaches to second language reading*, 152–167.
- Conrad, S. (1999). The importance of corpus-based research for language teachers. *System*, 27(1), 1–18.
- Cooper, H. (1998). Synthesizing Research: A Guide for Literature Reviews. *Applied social research methods series, Vol. 2*
- Coxhead, A. (2000). A new AWL. *TESOL Quarterly* 34(2), 213–238.
- Coxhead, A., Byrd, P. (2007). Preparing writing teachers to teach the vocabulary and grammar of academic prose. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 16, 3, 129–147.
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Gledhill, C. (2000). The discourse function of collocation in research article introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 19(2), 115–135.
- Creswell, J.W. (2003). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). *Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage*.
- Goatly, A. (2000). Critical reading and writing: An introductory coursebook. London, England: Routledge.
- Hyland, K. (2003). Second language writing. Cambridge: Cambridge university press.
- Hyland, K. (2007). Is there an “Academic vocabulary”? *TESOL Quarterly*, 41,2, 235–253.
- Johns, T. (1994). From printout to handout: grammar and vocabulary teaching in the context of data-driven learning. In T. Odlin, ed., *Perspective on pedagogical grammar*, 293–313. Cambridge: Cambridge university press.
- Koptyaeva, N., Oveshkova, A. (2016). The use of web technologies in academic writing. 5<sup>th</sup> Information school for young researchers (Eds.). Ekaterinburg: UB RAS Central Scientific Library. P. 55–60.
- Luke, A. (2004). Two takes on being critical. In B. Norton & K. Toohey (Eds.), *Critical pedagogies and language learning* (pp. 21–29). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Reppen, R. (2010). Using corpora in the language classroom. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Saeed, N.A. & Waly, S. (2009). Corpus for Classrooms: Ideas for Material Design, *Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> METU ELT Convention*. Retrieved from: <http://dbe.metu.edu.tr/convention/>
- Teaching and Learning by Doing Corpus Analysis (2000). Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Teaching and Language Corpora, Graz 19–24 July, Vol. 1 Retrieved from: