

Fourth, experimental teaching can improve students' comprehensive ability of using knowledge. An experiment is of high comprehensiveness. It often involves much theoretical knowledge, so it can make students sense the relationship between several theoretical knowledge points. As a result, their comprehensive ability in practical skills is effectively exercised and improved.

References

- Anglin, P.M. (1997). Determinants of buyer search in a housing market. *Real Estate Econ.*, 25(4), 567–589.
- Bergstrom, T.C., and Miller, J.H. (2000). *Experiments with economic principles*. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.
- Dickie, M. (2006). Do classroom experiments increase learning in introductory microeconomics? *J. Econ. Educ.*, 37(3), 267–288.
- Durham, Y., McKinnon, T., and Schulman, C. (2007). Classroom experiments: Not just fun and games. *Econ. Inq.*, 45(1), 162–178.
- Elder, H.W., Zumpano, L.V., and Baryla, E.A. (1999). Buyer search intensity and the role of the residential real estate broker. *J. Real Estate Financ. Econ.*, 18(3), 351–368.
- Emerson, T.L., and Taylor, B.A. (2004). Comparing student achievement across experimental and lecture-oriented sections of a principles of microeconomics course. *South. Econ. J.*, 70(3), 672–693.
- Flora, J.V., and Cooper, A.T. (2005). Incorporating inquiry-based laboratory experiment in undergraduate environmental engineering laboratory. *J. Prof. Issues Eng. Educ. Pract.*, 131(1), 19–25.
- Fischbacher, U. (2007). z-Tree: Zurich toolbox for ready-made economic experiments. *Exp. Econ.*, 10(2), 171–178.
- Glaserfeld, E. (1989). *Constructivism in education year*. Oxford England: Pergamom Press.
- Holt, C.A. (1999). Teaching economics with classroom experiments: A symposium. *South. Econ. J.*, 65(3), 603–610.
- Holt, C.A. (2003). Economic science: An experimental approach for teaching and research. *South. Econ. J.*, 69(4), 754–771.
- Holt, C.A. (2007). *Markets, Games, and Strategic Behavior*. Boston: Addison-Wesley.
- Nuriddin, I., and Yavas, A. (2012a). Cash flow volatility, prices and price volatility: An experimental study. *J. Real Estate Financ. Econ.*, 44(2), 203–229.

- Nuriddin, I., and Yavas, A. (2012b). Asset characteristics and boom and bust periods: An experimental study. *Real Estate Econ.*, forthcoming.
- Ong, S., Cheng, F., Boon, B., and Sing, T. (2003). Oligopolistic bidding and pricing in real estate: experimental evidence. *J. Prop. Invest. Financ.*, 21(2), 154–189.
- O’Sullivan, A., and Sheffrin, S.M. (2006). *Economics: Principles and Tools*. Upper Saddle River: Pearson Prentice Hall, .
- Pickett, M., and Oliver, D. (2000). Hands-on engineering experiments for secondary school students. *J. Prof. Issues Eng. Educ. Pract.*, 126(2), 69–73.
- Romero, M.L., and Museros, P. (2002). Structural analysis education through model experiments and computer simulation. *J. Prof. Issues Eng. Educ. Pract.*, 128(4), 170–176.
- Seiler, M.J., Seiler, V.L., Lane, M.A., and Harrison, D.M. (2012). “Fear, shame, and guilt: economic and behavioral motivations for strategic default.” *Real Estate Econ.*, forthcoming.
- Turnbull, G.K. (1996). Real estate broker, non-price competition and the housing market. *Real Estate Econ.*, 24(3), 293–316.
- Yandell, D.S. (2004). Effects of classroom experiments and integration on student learning and satisfaction. *J. Econ. Econ. Educ., Res.*, 5(3), 17–28.